arXiv:1405.4816v1 [math.NT] 19 May 2014

ON GLOBAL $\mathcal{P}$-FORMS

XIANG-DONG HOU

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{F}_q = p$ and $n > 0$ an integer with $\gcd(n, \log_p q) = 1$. Let $(\ )^* : \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \to \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$ be the $\mathbb{F}_q$-monomorphism defined by $\mathtt{x}_i^* = \mathtt{x}_{i+1}$ for $0 \le i < n-1$ and $\mathtt{x}_{n-1}^* = \mathtt{x}_0^q$. For $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$, define $f \circ g = f(g, g^*, \ldots, g^{(n-1)*})$. Then $(\mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \setminus \mathbb{F}_q, \circ)$ is a monoid whose invertible elements are called global $\mathcal{P}$-forms. Global $\mathcal{P}$-forms were first introduced by H. Dobbertin in 2001 with $q = 2$ to study certain type of permutation polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ with $\gcd(m, n) = 1$; global $\mathcal{P}$-forms with $q = p$ for an arbitrary prime p were considered by W. More in 2005. In this paper, we discuss some fundamental questions about global $\mathcal{P}$-forms, some of which are answered and others remain open.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F be a field and $F(\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$ the field of rational functions in $\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}$ over F. Let $u > 0$ be an integer such that $x^n - u$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$, which is equivalent to saying that for every prime divisor r of n, u is not an rth power of an integer [8, Theorem 8.1.6]. Let

$$(\ )^* : \ F(\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \longrightarrow F(\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$$

be the F-monomorphism defined by $\mathtt{x}_i^* = \mathtt{x}_{i+1}$ for $0 \le i < n-1$ and $\mathtt{x}_{n-1}^* = \mathtt{x}_0^u$. For $f \in F(\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$ and $i \ge 0$, we write

$$f^{\overbrace{*\cdots*}^{i}} = f^{i*}.$$

For $f, g \in F(\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$, we define

$$f \circ g = f(g, g^*, \ldots, g^{(n-1)*}) \tag{1.1}$$

whenever the right side is meaningful. Writing $f = \frac{f_1}{f_2}$, where $f_1, f_2 \in F[\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}]$ with $f_2 \ne 0$, we have

$$f \circ g = \frac{f_1(g, g^*, \ldots, g^{(n-1)*})}{f_2(g, g^*, \ldots, g^{(n-1)*})}.$$

Hence for $f \circ g$ to be defined for all $f \in F(\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$, it is necessary and sufficient that $g, g^*, \ldots, g^{(n-1)*}$ be algebraically independent over F. We will see in Section 3 that $g, g^*, \ldots, g^{(n-1)*}$ are algebraically independent over F if and only if g is not a constant.

We are primarily interested in the case $F = \mathbb{F}_q$ with $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{F}_q = p$, $u = q$, and $\gcd(n, \log_p q) = 1$. In this case, we also see that $(\mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \setminus \mathbb{F}_q, \circ)$ forms a

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11T06, 11R27, 14E07.

Key words and phrases. Cremaona group, global $\mathcal{P}$-form, finite field, permutation polynomial.

* Research partially supported by NSA Grant H98230-12-1-0245.

monoid with identity $\mathtt{x}_0$. The invertible elements of this monoid are called *global $\mathcal{P}$-forms* (in $\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$) and the group they form is denoted by $\mathcal{G}(n, q)$.

Global $\mathcal{P}$-forms were first introduced by Dobbertin in [5] with $q = 2$ and were later generalized by More [11] to the case $q = p$ for an arbitrary prime p. The motivation of this notion, according to [5], is in the study of certain permutation polynomials of finite fields that possess a "uniform representation". More precisely, let n, n', m be positive integers such that $nn' \equiv 1 \pmod m$ and let $f \in \mathcal{G}(n, q)$. Denote the inverse of f in $\mathcal{G}(n, q)$ by $f^{(-1)}$ and put $\widetilde{f} = f(\mathtt{x}, \mathtt{x}^{q^{n'}}, \dots, \mathtt{x}^{q^{(n-1)n'}}) \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x})$. (Here we need to assume that the denominator of $f(\mathtt{x}, \mathtt{x}^{q^{n'}}, \dots, \mathtt{x}^{q^{(n-1)n'}})$ is not 0. By choosing n' large enough subject to the condition $nn' \equiv 1 \pmod m$, this requirement is satisfied.) Let D denote the set of all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ at which the rational functions $\widetilde{f}$ and $\widetilde{f^{(-1)}} \circ \widetilde{f} \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x})$ are both defined. Then for all $x \in D$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{f^{(-1)}}\big(\widetilde{f}(x)\big) &= f^{(-1)}\big(\widetilde{f}(x), \widetilde{f}(x)^{q^{n'}}, \dots, \widetilde{f}(x)^{q^{(n-1)n'}}\big) \\
&= f^{(-1)}\big(f(x, x^{q^{n'}}, \dots, x^{q^{(n-1)n'}}), f(x^{q^{n'}}, x^{q^{2n'}}, \dots, x^{q^{(n-1)n'}}, x^q), \dots, \\
&\qquad f(x^{q^{(n-1)n'}}, x^q, x^{q^{1+n'}}, \dots, x^{q^{1+(n-2)n'}})\big) \\
&= f^{(-1)}\big(f(x, x^{q^{n'}}, \dots, x^{q^{(n-1)n'}}), f^*(x, x^{q^{n'}}, \dots, x^{q^{(n-1)n'}}), \dots, \\
&\qquad f^{(n-1)*}(x, x^{q^{n'}}, \dots, x^{q^{(n-1)n'}})\big) \\
&= (f^{(-1)} \circ f)(x, x^{q^{n'}}, \dots, x^{q^{(n-1)n'}}) \\
&= x.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\widetilde{f}$ is one-to-one on D. Write $\widetilde{f} = \frac{f_1}{f_2}$ where $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathtt{x}]$, $f_2 \neq 0$, $\gcd(f_1, f_2) = 1$, and put $g = f_1 f_2^{q^m - 2} \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathtt{x}]$. Then g is one-to-one on D. If, in addition, one can show that g is also one-to-one on $\mathbb{F}_{q^m} \setminus D$ and $g(\mathbb{F}_{q^m} \setminus D) \cap g(D) = \emptyset$, it follows that g is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. In [4], Dobbertin found a formula for the inverse of the Kasami permutation polynomial. The proof in [4] implicitly relied on an extraordinary global $\mathcal{P}$-form $Q_n \in \mathcal{G}(n, 2)$ and its inverse, both of which were made explicit later in [5]. (We will revisit the global $\mathcal{P}$-form Q_n in detail shortly.)

It is clear that $\mathcal{G}(1, q) \cong \mathrm{PGL}(2, q)$. For $m \mid n$, there is a natural embedding $\mathcal{G}(m, q) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}(n, q)$. There is another embedding

$$\begin{array}{rccc}
\phi_n: & \mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]^\times & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(n, q) \\
& a_0 + a_1 q^{\frac{1}{n}} + \cdots + a_{n-1} q^{\frac{n-1}{n}} & \longmapsto & \mathtt{x}_0^{a_0} \cdots \mathtt{x}_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}}, \quad a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}.
\end{array}$$

The image of ϕ_n consists of all global $\mathcal{P}$-forms that are rational monomials. A fundamental question is whether $\mathcal{G}(n, q)$ is generated by $\mathcal{G}(1, q)$ and $\operatorname{Im} \phi_n$. The answer is not known.

In [5], Dobbertin proved that

$$Q_n := \frac{1}{\mathtt{x}_0 \mathtt{x}_1}\Big(\mathtt{x}_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathtt{x}_i + n + 1\Big) \in \mathcal{G}(n, 2). \tag{1.2}$$

Moreover,

$$Q_n^{(-1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{e_0,\dots,e_{i-1}} \mathtt{x}_0^{e_0}\mathtt{x}_1^{e_1}\cdots\mathtt{x}_{i-1}^{e_{i-1}}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $e_0,\dots,e_{i-1} \in \{\pm 1\}$ are subject to the conditions $e_0 = -1$ (when $i < n$), $e_0 = \pm 1$ (when $i = n$), $e_{i-1} = -1$, and $(e_{j-1}, e_j) \neq (1,1)$ for all $0 < j < i$. It is not known if $Q_n \in \langle \mathcal{G}(1,n) \cup \operatorname{Im}\phi_n\rangle$. It follows from (1.2) and (1.3) (or by direct computation) that $o(Q_2) = 2$. Dobbertin [5] posed the question whether $o(Q_n)$ is infinite for $n > 2$. We will prove that $o(Q_n) = \infty$ for $n > 2$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we assume that F is an arbitrary field and $x^n - u \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathtt{x}]$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$, where n and u are positive integers. We introduce the notion of a $\mathbb{Z}[u^{\frac{1}{n}}]$-valued degree for functions in $F(\mathtt{x}_0,\dots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1})$, which allows us to prove that if $g \in F(\mathtt{x}_0,\dots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \setminus F$, then $g, g^*, \dots, g^{(n-1)*}$ are algebraically independent over F. In Section 3, we assume that $F = \mathbb{F}_q$, $u = q$, and $\gcd(n, \log_p q) = 1$, where $p = \operatorname{char}\mathbb{F}_q$. After a discussion of the basic properties of global $\mathcal{P}$-forms, we prove that $o(Q_n) = \infty$ for $n > 3$. The proof is based on the computation of the $\mathbb{Z}[2^{\frac{1}{n}}]$-valued degree of Q_n. Section 4 is devoted the structure of the group $\mathcal{G}(n,q)$ of global $\mathcal{P}$-forms. Several embeddings are described: $\mathcal{G}(m,q) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}(n,q)$, where $m \mid n$; $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]^\times \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}(n,q)$; $\mathcal{G}(n,q) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Cr}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, where $\mathrm{Cr}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0,\dots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1})/\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the Cremona group of $\mathbb{F}_q$ in n dimensions. There are two degree functions $d_{\max}$, $d_{\min} : \mathcal{G}(n,q) \to \mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]^\times \cup \{0\}$, and $\mathcal{H}(n,q) := \{f \in \mathcal{G}(n,q) : d_{\max}(f)d_{\min}(f) > 0\}$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(n,q)$ of finite index. Left coset representatives of $\mathcal{H}(n,q)$ in $\mathcal{G}(n,q)$ are determined and so is the structure of the quotient group $\mathcal{H}(n,q)/\{f \in \mathcal{H}(n,q) : d_{\max}(f) = d_{\min}(f) = 1\}$. Section 4 also contains several open questions that are fundamental for a better understanding of the group $\mathcal{G}(n,q)$.

2. The $\mathbb{Z}[u^{\frac{1}{n}}]$-Valued Degree

Let F be a field and let n and u be positive integers such that $\mathtt{x}^n - u$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. For $0 \neq f = \sum_{e_0,\dots,e_{n-1}\geq 0} c_{e_0,\dots,e_{n-1}}\mathtt{x}_0^{e_0}\cdots\mathtt{x}_{n-1}^{e_{n-1}} \in F[\mathtt{x}_0,\dots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1}]$, define

$$d(f) = \max\{e_0 + e_1 u^{\frac{1}{n}} + \cdots + e_{n-1}u^{\frac{n-1}{n}} : c_{e_0,\dots,e_{n-1}} \neq 0\}. \tag{2.1}$$

We also define $d(0) = -\infty$. It is obvious that

$$d(fg) = d(f) + d(g) \quad \text{for all } f, g \in F[\mathtt{x}_0,\dots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1}].$$

The next result is more interesting.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f, g \in F[\mathtt{x}_0,\dots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1}]$ be such that $d(g) > 0$. Then*

$$d(f \circ g) = d(f)d(g), \tag{2.2}$$

where $f \circ g$ is defined in (1.1).

Proof. It is clear that $d(g^*) = u^{\frac{1}{n}}d(g)$. Thus in general we have $d(g^{i*}) = u^{\frac{i}{n}}d(g)$ for $i \geq 0$. Let $c\,\mathtt{x}_0^{e_0}\cdots\mathtt{x}_{n-1}^{e_{n-1}}$ ($c \in F \setminus \{0\}$) be the leading term of f with respect to d. Then the leading term of $f \circ g$ equals that of $cg^{e_0}(g^*)^{e_1}\cdots(g^{(n-1)*})^{e_{n-1}}$. Note

that

$$\begin{aligned} d\big(g^{e_0}(g^*)^{e_1}\cdots(g^{(n-1)*})^{e_{n-1}}\big) &= e_0d(g)+e_1u^{\frac{1}{n}}d(g)+\cdots+e_{n-1}u^{\frac{n-1}{n}}d(g)\\ &= (e_0+e_1u^{\frac{1}{n}}+\cdots+e_{n-1}u^{\frac{n-1}{n}})d(g)\\ &= d(f)d(g). \end{aligned}$$

□

For $f=\frac{f_1}{f_2}\in F(\mathtt{x}_0,\dots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1})$, where $f_1,f_2\in F[\mathtt{x}_0,\dots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1}]$, $f_2\neq 0$, we define

$$d(f)=d(f_1)-d(f_2).$$

Then the function $d: F(\mathtt{x}_0,\dots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1})\to\mathbb{Z}[u^{\frac{1}{n}}]\cup\{-\infty\}$ has the following properties. For $f,g\in F(\mathtt{x}_0,\dots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1})$,

(i) $d(fg)=d(f)+d(g)$;
(ii) $d(f+g)\le\max(d(f),d(g))$ and if $d(f)\neq d(g)$, then $d(f+g)=\max(d(f),d(g))$.

In fact, $-d$ is a valuation of $F(\mathtt{x}_0,\dots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1})$ with the value group $(\mathbb{Z}[u^{\frac{1}{n}}],+,\le)$. Lemma 2.1 is still valid for $g\in F(\mathtt{x}_0,\dots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1})$ with $d(g)>0$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f\in F[\mathtt{x}_0,\dots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1}]$ and $g\in F(\mathtt{x}_0,\dots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1})$ be such that $d(g)>0$. Then*

$$d(f\circ g)=d(f)d(g). \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Write $f=\sum_{e_0,\dots,e_{n-1}\ge 0}c_{e_0,\dots,e_{n-1}}\mathtt{x}_0^{e_0}\cdots\mathtt{x}_{n-1}^{e_{n-1}}$. Then

$$f\circ g=\sum_{e_0,\dots,e_{n-1}\ge 0}c_{e_0,\dots,e_{n-1}}g^{e_0}(g^*)^{e_1}\cdots(g^{(n-1)*})^{e_{n-1}}. \tag{2.4}$$

Note that

$$d\big(g^{e_0}(g^*)^{e_1}\cdots(g^{(n-1)*})^{e_{n-1}}\big)=(e_0+e_1u^{\frac{1}{n}}+\cdots+e_{n-1}u^{\frac{n-1}{n}})d(g), \tag{2.5}$$

which are distinct for different $(e_0,\dots,e_{n-1})$. Therefore,

$$d(f\circ g)=\max_{\substack{e_0,\dots,e_{n-1}\ge 0\\ c_{e_0,\dots,e_{n-1}}\neq 0}}(e_0+e_1u^{\frac{1}{n}}+\cdots+e_{n-1}u^{\frac{n-1}{n}})d(g)=d(f)d(g).$$

□

Theorem 2.3. *Let $g\in F(\mathtt{x}_0,\dots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1})\setminus F$. Then $g,g^*,\dots,g^{(n-1)*}$ are algebraically independent over F.*

Proof. We may assume $d(g)>0$. (If $d(g)<0$, consider $\frac{1}{g}$. If $d(g)=0$, then $g=a+g_1$, where $a\in F\setminus\{0\}$ and $g_1\in F(\mathtt{x}_0,\dots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1})$ with $d(g_1)<0$. We then consider $\frac{1}{g_1}$.) Assume to the contrary that there exists $0\neq f\in F[\mathtt{x}_0,\dots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1}]$ such that

$$0=f(g,g^*,\dots,g^{(n-1)*})=f\circ g.$$

Then by Lemma 2.2,

$$-\infty=d(f\circ g)=d(f)d(g)>-\infty,$$

which is a contradiction. □

Note. Theorem 2.3 with $F = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $u = p$ was stated in [11]. But unfortunately, the proof there is seriously flawed.

As a consequence of Theorem 2.3, the operation (composition) $f \circ g$ in (1.1) is well defined for all $f \in F(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$ and $g \in F(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \setminus F$.

Next we extend the notion of the $\mathbb{Z}[u^{\frac{1}{n}}]$-valued degree d. For $0 \neq f \in F[\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}]$, define

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\max}(f) &= d(f) = \text{the maximum } d\text{-value of the terms of } f, \\ d_{\min}(f) &= \text{the minimum } d\text{-value of the terms of } f. \end{aligned}$$

Also define $d_{\max}(0) = -\infty$ and $d_{\min}(0) = \infty$. For $f = \frac{f_1}{f_2} \in F(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$, where $f_1, f_2 \in F[\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}]$, $f_2 \neq 0$, define

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{\max}(f) = d(f) = d_{\max}(f_1) - d_{\max}(f_2), \\ &d_{\min}(f) = d_{\min}(f_1) - d_{\min}(f_2), \\ &\delta(f) = (d_{\max}(f), d_{\min}(f)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Obviously, $\delta(f + g) = \delta(f) + \delta(g)$ for $f, g \in F(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$.

Example 2.4. Let $F = \mathbb{F}_2$, $u = 2$ and

$$Q_n = \frac{1}{\mathtt{x}_0 \mathtt{x}_1} \Big(\mathtt{x}_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathtt{x}_i + n + 1 \Big) \in \mathbb{F}_2(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$$

as in (1.2). We have

$$d_{\max}(Q_n) = 1 - 2^{\frac{1}{n}}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$d_{\min}(Q_n) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ -1 - 2^{\frac{1}{n}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let $f \in F(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$ and $g \in F(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \setminus F$. Then*

$$d_{\max}(f \circ g) = \begin{cases} d_{\max}(f) d_{\max}(g) & \text{if } d_{\max}(g) > 0, \\ d_{\min}(f) d_{\max}(g) & \text{if } d_{\max}(g) < 0; \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

$$d_{\min}(f \circ g) = \begin{cases} d_{\min}(f) d_{\min}(g) & \text{if } d_{\min}(g) > 0, \\ d_{\max}(f) d_{\min}(g) & \text{if } d_{\min}(g) < 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.2. We only prove one case: $d_{\min}(f \circ g) = d_{\max}(f) d_{\min}(g)$ when $d_{\min}(g) < 0$. It suffices to prove the formula with $f \in F[\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}]$, say $f = \sum_{e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \geq 0} c_{e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}} \mathtt{x}_0^{e_0} \cdots \mathtt{x}_{n-1}^{e_{n-1}}$. Then $f \circ g$ is given by (2.4). Note that (2.5) still holds with d replaced by $d_{\min}$. It is also easy to see that if $\alpha, \beta \in F(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$ are such that $d_{\min}(\alpha) \neq d_{\min}(\beta)$, then $d_{\min}(\alpha + \beta) = \min(d_{\min}(\alpha), d_{\min}(\beta))$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\min}(f \circ g) &= \min_{\substack{e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ c_{e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}} \neq 0}} (e_0 + e_1 u^{\frac{1}{n}} + \cdots + e_{n-1} u^{\frac{n-1}{n}}) d_{\min}(g) \\ &= d_{\min}(g) \max_{\substack{e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ c_{e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}} \neq 0}} (e_0 + e_1 u^{\frac{1}{n}} + \cdots + e_{n-1} u^{\frac{n-1}{n}}) \\ &= d_{\max}(f) d_{\min}(g). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.6. In Lemma 2.5, if $d_{\max}(g) = 0$, then $g = a + g_1$ for some $a \in F \setminus \{0\}$ and $g_1 \in F(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$ with $d_{\max}(g_1) < 0$. Hence

$$d_{\max}(f \circ g) = d_{\max}\big(f(\mathtt{x}_0+a, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}+a) \circ g_1\big) = d_{\min}\big(f(\mathtt{x}_0+a, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}+a)\big) d_{\max}(g_1).$$

Similarly, if $d_{\min}(g) = 0$, then $g = b + g_2$ for some $b \in F \setminus \{0\}$ and $g_2 \in F(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$ with $d_{\min}(g) > 0$. Hence

$$d_{\min}(f \circ g) = d_{\min}\big(f(\mathtt{x}_0 + b, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1} + b)\big) d_{\min}(g_2).$$

Let $\mathfrak{M}(n, F)$ denote the set of all rational monomials in $F(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$, that is,

$$\mathfrak{M}(n, F) = \{\mathtt{x}_0^{e_0} \cdots \mathtt{x}_{n-1}^{e_{n-1}} : e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

(Note. Rational monomials, according to our definition, have coefficient 1.) It is easy to verify that $(\mathfrak{M}(n, F), \cdot, \circ)$ is a commutative ring whose "addition" is the ordinary multiplication $\cdot$ and whose "multiplication" is the composition $\circ$ defined in (1.1). The additive and multiplicative identities are 1 and $\mathtt{x}_0$, respectively. Moreover, the mapping

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}(n, F) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[u^{\frac{1}{n}}] \\ f & \longmapsto & d(f) \end{array} \tag{2.11}$$

is a ring isomorphism.

3. Global $\mathcal{P}$-Forms

From now on we assume, in the notation of Section 2, that $F = \mathbb{F}_q$, $u = q$, and $\gcd(n, \log_p q) = 1$, where $p = \operatorname{char} \mathbb{F}_q$. Clearly, $\mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ is closed under $\circ$ and $(\ )^*$. For $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$, we have $(f \circ g)^* = f \circ g^* = f^* \circ g$; the first equal sign follows from the definition of $\circ$ and $(\ )^*$; the second equal sign relies on the fact that $g^{n*} = g^q$. Therefore for $f, g, h \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(f \circ g) \circ h \\ &= (f \circ g)(h, h^*, \dots, h^{(n-1)*}) \\ &= f\big(g(h, h^*, \dots, h^{(n-1)*}), g^*(h, h^*, \dots, h^{(n-1)*}), \dots, g^{(n-1)*}(h, h^*, \dots, h^{(n-1)*})\big) \\ &= f(g \circ h, g^* \circ h, \dots, g^{(n-1)*} \circ h) \\ &= g\big(g \circ h, (g \circ h)^*, \dots, (g \circ h)^{(n-1)*}\big) \\ &= f \circ (g \circ h). \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $f \circ \mathtt{x}_0 = \mathtt{x}_0 \circ f$ for all $f \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$. Thus $(\mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \setminus \mathbb{F}_q, \circ)$ is a monoid with identity $\mathtt{x}_0$. The ith power and the inverse, if exists, of an element f of this monoid are denoted by $f^{(i)}$ and $f^{(-1)}$, respectively. The invertible elements of $(\mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \setminus \mathbb{F}_q, \circ)$, called *global $\mathcal{P}$-forms*, form the group $\mathcal{G}(n, q)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$. The following statements are equivalent.*

(i) $f \in \mathcal{G}(n, q)$.
(ii) $\mathbb{F}_q(f, f^*, \dots, f^{(n-1)*}) = \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$.
(iii) *f has a left inverse (with respect to $\circ$).*
(iv) *f has a right inverse (with respect to $\circ$).*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). For all $0 \le i \le n-1$, we have

$$\mathtt{x}_i = \mathtt{x}_0^{i*} = (f^{(-1)} \circ f)^{i*} = (f^{(-1)})^{i*} \circ f \in \mathbb{F}_q(f, f^*, \dots, f^{(n-1)*}).$$

Hence $\mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) = \mathbb{F}_q(f, f^*, \dots, f^{(n-1)*})$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Since $\mathtt{x}_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q(f, f^*, \dots, f^{(n-1)*})$, there exists $g \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$ such that $g(f, f^*, \dots, f^{(n-1)*}) = \mathtt{x}_0$, that is, $g \circ f = \mathtt{x}_0$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Let $g \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ be such that $g \circ f = \mathtt{x}_0$. Then $(f \circ g) \circ f = f$, i.e.,

$$(f \circ g)(f, f^*, \dots, f^{(n-1)*}) = f.$$

Since $f, f^*, \dots, f^{(n-1)*}$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{F}_q$, we have $f \circ g = \mathtt{x}_0$.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let $g \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ be such that $f \circ g = \mathtt{x}_0$. Then $(g \circ f) \circ g = g$, that is,

$$(g \circ f)(g, g^*, \dots, g^{(n-1)*}) = g.$$

Since $g, g^*, \dots, g^{(n-1)*}$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{F}_q$, we have $g \circ f = \mathtt{x}_0$. Hence $f \in \mathcal{G}(n, q)$. □

When $q = 2$ and $n \ge 2$, Dobbertin found that $Q_n = \frac{1}{\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1}(\mathtt{x}_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathtt{x}_i + n + 1) \in \mathcal{G}(n, 2)$ with $Q_n^{(-1)}$ given by (1.3). The verification of this claim is straightforward for $n = 2$ but is quite complicated for general n; we refer the reader to [4] for the details. It is known that $o(Q_2) = 2$, and an open question in [5] asks if $o(Q_n)$ is infinite for $n \ge 3$. We now answer this question affirmatively.

Theorem 3.2. (i) *For odd $n \ge 3$ and any $m \ge 0$,*

$$\begin{cases} d_{\max}(Q_n^{(m)}) = (-1)^m(-1 + 2^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil}, \\ d_{\min}(Q_n^{(m)}) = (-1)^m(-1 + 2^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

(ii) *For even $n \ge 2$ and any $m \ge 0$,*

$$\begin{cases} d_{\max}(Q_n^{(m)}) = (-1)^m(-1 + 2^{\frac{2}{n}})^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}(-1 + 2^{\frac{1}{n}})^{m - 2\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}, \\ d_{\min}(Q_n^{(m)}) = (-1)^m(-1 + 2^{\frac{2}{n}})^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}(1 + 2^{\frac{1}{n}})^{m - 2\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. (i) By (2.7) and (2.8), $d_{\max}(Q_n) = 1 - 2^{\frac{1}{n}}$ and $d_{\min}(Q_n) = -1$. Thus by Lemma 2.5, $d_{\max}(Q_n^{(2)}) = d_{\min}(Q_n^{(2)}) = -1 + 2^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Repeated applications of Lemma 2.5 give

$$d_{\max}(Q_n^{(2k)}) = d_{\min}(Q_n^{(2k)}) = (-1 + 2^{\frac{1}{n}})^k.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\max}(Q_n^{(2k+1)}) &= (-1 + 2^{\frac{1}{n}})^k(1 - 2^{\frac{1}{n}}), \\ d_{\max}(Q_n^{(2k+1)}) &= (-1 + 2^{\frac{1}{n}})^k(-1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have (3.1).

(ii) By (2.7) and (2.8), $d_{\max}(Q_n) = 1 - 2^{\frac{1}{n}}$ and $d_{\min}(Q_n) = -1 - 2^{\frac{1}{n}}$. By Lemma 2.5, $d_{\max}(Q_n^{(2)}) = d_{\min}(Q_n^{(2)}) = -1 + 2^{\frac{2}{n}}$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\max}(Q_n^{(2k)}) &= d_{\min}(Q_n^{(2k)}) = (-1 + 2^{\frac{2}{n}})^k, \\ d_{\max}(Q_n^{(2k+1)}) &= (-1 + 2^{\frac{2}{n}})^k(1 - 2^{\frac{1}{n}}), \\ d_{\min}(Q_n^{(2k+1)}) &= (-1 + 2^{\frac{2}{n}})^k(-1 - 2^{\frac{1}{n}}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have (3.2). □

Corollary 3.3. *For $n > 2$, we have $o(Q_n) = \infty$.*

Proof. Since $n > 2$, it follows from Theorem 3.2 that $d_{\max}(Q_n^{(m)}) \neq 1$ for all $m > 0$. Thus $Q_n^{(m)} \neq \mathtt{x}_0$ for all $m > 0$. □

4. The Group $\mathcal{G}(n, q)$

When $n = 1$, the situation is quite simple. It is well known that $f \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0)$ generates $\mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if $f = \frac{a\mathtt{x}_0+b}{c\mathtt{x}_0+d}$, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $ad-bc \neq 0$. Thus it follows from Proposition 3.1 that

$$\mathcal{G}(n, q) = \Big\{ \frac{a\mathtt{x}_0 + b}{c\mathtt{x}_0 + d} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q,\ ad - bc \neq 0 \Big\}. \tag{4.1}$$

For any field F, let $\mathrm{Cr}_n(F) = \mathrm{Aut}(F(\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})/F)$ be the *Cremona group* of F in n dimensions. Then we have $\mathcal{G}(1, q) \cong \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{F}_q) \cong \mathrm{Cr}_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with the obvious isomorphisms.

Proposition 4.1. *There is a group embedding*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \gamma: & \mathcal{G}(n,q) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Cr}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) \\ & f & \longmapsto & \gamma(f), \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \gamma(f): & \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \\ & h & \longmapsto & h \circ f^{(-1)}. \end{array}$$

Proof. For $f \in \mathcal{G}(n, q)$, we have $f^{(-1)} \in \mathcal{G}(n, q)$, from which it follows that $\gamma(f) \in \mathrm{Cr}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. For $f, g \in \mathcal{G}(n, q)$ and $h \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$, we have

$$\big(\gamma(f)\gamma(g)\big)(h) = \gamma(f)(h \circ g^{(-1)}) = h \circ g^{(-1)} \circ f^{(-1)} = h \circ (f \circ g)^{(-1)} = \gamma(f \circ g)(h).$$

So $\gamma(f \circ g) = \gamma(f)\gamma(g)$. That γ is one-to-one is obvious. □

Remark. When $n = 2$ and F is an algebraically closed field, a set of generators of the Cremona group $\mathrm{Cr}_2(F)$ is given by the Noether-Castelnuovo theorem [10, Theorem 2.20] and a presentation of $\mathrm{Cr}_2(F)$ is given in [1, 6]. When $n = 2$ but F is not algebraically closed or when $n \geq 3$, the situation is more difficult [12, 13].

Proposition 4.2. *Assume $m \mid n$ and let $k = \frac{n}{m}$. Then the mapping*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \psi_{m,n}: & \mathcal{G}(m,q) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(n,q) \\ & f(\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{m-1}) & \longmapsto & f(\mathtt{x}_0, \mathtt{x}_k, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{(m-1)k}) \end{array}$$

is a group embedding. Moreover, $\mathrm{Im}\,\psi_{m,n} = \mathcal{G}(n, q) \cap \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \mathtt{x}_k, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{(m-1)k})$.

Proof. To prove the first claim, it suffices to show that $\psi_{m,n}(f \circ g) = \psi_{m,n}(f) \circ \psi_{m,n}(g)$ for all $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{m-1}) \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_{m,n}(f) \circ \psi_{m,n}(g) \\ &= f(\mathtt{x}_0, \mathtt{x}_k, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{(m-1)k}) \circ g(\mathtt{x}_0, \mathtt{x}_k, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{(m-1)k}) \\ &= f\big(g(\mathtt{x}_0, \mathtt{x}_k, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{(m-1)k}), g(\mathtt{x}_0, \mathtt{x}_k, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{(m-1)k})^{k*}, \ldots, g(\mathtt{x}_0, \mathtt{x}_k, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{(m-1)k})^{(m-1)k*}\big) \\ &= f\big(g(\mathtt{x}_0, \mathtt{x}_k, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{(m-1)k}), g(\mathtt{x}_k, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{(m-1)k}, \mathtt{x}_0^q), \ldots, g(\mathtt{x}_{(m-1)k}, \mathtt{x}_0^q, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{(m-2)k}^q)\big) \\ &= (f \circ g)(\mathtt{x}_0, \mathtt{x}_k, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_{(m-1)k}) \\ &= \psi_{m,n}(f \circ g). \end{aligned}$$

To prove that second claim, assume that $\alpha = f(\mathtt{x}_0, \mathtt{x}_k, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{(m-1)k}) \in \mathcal{G}(n,q)$, where $f \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{m-1})$. We show that $f(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{m-1}) \in \mathcal{G}(m,q)$. To this end, it suffices to show that $\alpha^{(-1)} \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \mathtt{x}_k, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{(m-1)k})$. We have

$$\alpha^{(-1)}(\alpha, \alpha^*, \dots, \alpha^{(m-1)*}) = \mathtt{x}_0. \tag{4.2}$$

We claim that $\alpha^*, \alpha^{(k+1)*}, \dots, \alpha^{((m-1)k+1)*}$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{F}_q(\{\alpha^{i*} : 0 \le i \le n-1,\ i \not\equiv 1 \pmod k\} \cup \{\mathtt{x}_0\})$. Otherwise, we have

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \mathrm{tr.d}\, \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha, \alpha^*, \dots, \alpha^{(n-1)*})/\mathbb{F}_q \qquad (\mathrm{tr.d} = \text{transcendence degree}) \\ &< m + \mathrm{tr.d}\, \mathbb{F}_q(\{\alpha^{i*} : 0 \le i \le n-1,\ i \not\equiv 1 \pmod k\} \cup \{\mathtt{x}_0\})/\mathbb{F}_q \\ &\le m + \mathrm{tr.d}\, \mathbb{F}_q(\{\mathtt{x}_i : 0 \le i \le n-1,\ i \not\equiv 1 \pmod k\})/\mathbb{F}_q \\ &= m + (n-m) = n, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore the left side of (4.2) does not involve $\alpha^*, \alpha^{(k+1)*}$, $\dots, \alpha^{((m-1)k+1)*}$. Since $\alpha, \alpha^*, \dots, \alpha^{(m-1)*}$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{F}_q$, this means that $\alpha^{(-1)}$ does not involve $\mathtt{x}_1, \mathtt{x}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathtt{x}_{(m-1)k+1}$. In the same way, $\alpha^{(-1)}$ does not involve $\mathtt{x}_i$ for all $0 \le i \le n-1$, $i \not\equiv 0 \pmod k$. □

Rational monomials in $\mathcal{G}(n,q)$ were determined in [11] for $n = 2$ and $q = p$. In general, we have the following

Proposition 4.3. *For $e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathtt{x}_0^{e_0} \cdots \mathtt{x}_{n-1}^{e_{n-1}} \in \mathcal{G}(n,q)$ if and only if $e_0 + e_1 q^{\frac{1}{n}} + \cdots + e_{n-1} q^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]^\times$. Moreover, the mapping*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \phi_n : & \mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]^\times & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(n,q) \\ & e_0 + e_1 q^{\frac{1}{n}} + \cdots + e_{n-1} q^{\frac{n-1}{n}} & \longmapsto & \mathtt{x}_0^{e_0} \cdots \mathtt{x}_{n-1}^{e_{n-1}}, \quad e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

is a group embedding.

Proof. In the first claim, the "if" part follows from the ring isomorphism (2.11); the "only if" part follows from Lemma 4.4 (i). The second claim follows from the ring isomorphism (2.11). □

Remark. The ring $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]$ is an *order* of the number field $K = \mathbb{Q}(q^{\frac{1}{n}})$, i.e., a subring of $\mathfrak{o}_K$ (the ring of integers of K) which is also a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank n. By Dirichlet's unit theorem [7, 9],

$$\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]^\times \cong \{\pm 1\} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}.$$

A $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]^\times / \{\pm 1\}$ is called a system of *fundamental units* of $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]$. For algorithms for computing fundamental units of orders, see [2, 3]. For example, $1 + \sqrt{2}$ is a fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$; $\{-2 + 3^{3/6}, -1 - 3^{1/6} + 3^{2/6} - 3^{3/6} + 3^{5/6}, 1 - 3^{1/6} - 3^{2/6} - 3^{3/6} + 3^{5/6}\}$ is a system of fundamental units of $\mathbb{Z}[3^{1/6}]$ [2, Table 1].

Lemma 4.4. *If $f \in \mathcal{G}(n,q)$, then the following hold.*

(i) $d_{\max}(f)$, $d_{\min}(f) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]^\times \cup \{0\}$.
(ii) $d_{\max}(f) d_{\min}(f) \ge 0$.
(iii) *If $q = 2$, then $\delta(f) \ne (0,0)$.*

Proof. (i) Assume $d_{\max}(f) \neq 0$, say, $d_{\max}(f) > 0$. Then by Lemma 2.5,

$$1 = d_{\max}(\mathtt{x}_0) = d_{\max}(f^{(-1)} \circ f) = d_{\max}(f^{(-1)}) d_{\max}(f).$$

Hence $d_{\max}(f) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]^\times$. In the same way, $d_{\min}(f) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]^\times \cup \{0\}$.

(ii) Assume to the contrary that $d_{\max}(f) d_{\min}(f) < 0$. Without loss of generality, assume $d_{\max}(f) > 0$ and $d_{\min}(f) < 0$. Then by Lemma 2.5,

$$1 = d_{\max}(f^{(-1)} \circ f) = d_{\max}(f^{(-1)}) d_{\max}(f),$$
$$1 = d_{\min}(f^{(-1)} \circ f) = d_{\max}(f^{(-1)}) d_{\min}(f),$$

which cannot be both true.

(iii) Assume to the contrary that $\delta(f) = (0,0)$. Since $q = 2$, we have $d_{\max}(f + 1) < 0$ and $d_{\min}(f+1) > 0$. By (ii), $f + 1 \notin \mathcal{G}(n,2)$, which is a contradiction. □

Let $\mathcal{M}(n,q)$ denote the set of all rational monomials in $\mathcal{G}(n,q)$, that is,

$$\mathcal{M}(n,q) = \{\mathtt{x}_0^{e_0} \cdots \mathtt{x}_{n-1}^{e_{n-1}} : e_0 + e_1 q^{\frac{1}{n}} + \cdots + e_{n-1} q^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]^\times\} = \operatorname{Im} \phi_n.$$

There are several open questions about the fundamental structure of the group $\mathcal{G}(n,q)$.

Question 4.5. Does $\mathcal{G}(1,q) \cup \mathcal{M}(n,q)$ generate $\mathcal{G}(n,q)$?

Question 4.6. Does $\big(\bigcup_{m|n,\, m<n} \mathcal{G}(m,q)\big) \cup \mathcal{M}(n,q)$ generate $\mathcal{G}(n,q)$? (By the embedding in Proposition 4.2, $\mathcal{G}(m,q)$ is treated as a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(n,q)$ for $m \mid n$.)

Question 4.7. We have $\mathcal{G}(1,q) \cap \mathcal{M}(n,q) = \langle \mathtt{x}_0^{-1} \rangle = \{\mathtt{x}_0, \mathtt{x}_0^{-1}\}$. When $q = 2$, is $\langle \mathcal{G}(1,q) \cup \mathcal{M}(n,q) \rangle$ equal to the amalgamated product $\mathcal{G}(1,q) *_{\langle \mathtt{x}_0^{-1} \rangle} \mathcal{M}(n,q)$?

Question 4.8. Let $q = 2$ and $n = 2$. Is $\langle \mathtt{x}_0 + 1, \mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1 \rangle$ the free product of $\langle \mathtt{x}_0 + 1 \rangle$ and $\langle \mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1 \rangle$?

Question 4.9. Let $q = 2$ and $n \geq 2$. Does Q_n belong to $\langle \mathcal{G}(1,q) \cup \mathcal{M}(n,q) \rangle$? ($Q_n$ is the Dobbertin global $\mathcal{P}$-form given in (1.2).)

Remark.

(i) For general q, the answer to Question 4.7 is negative. For example, let $q = 3$ and $n = 2$. Then $-\mathtt{x}_0 \in \mathcal{G}(1,3) \setminus \langle \mathtt{x}_0^{-1} \rangle$ commutes with $\mathtt{x}_0^2\mathtt{x}_1 \in \mathcal{M}(2,3)$. It follows that $\langle \mathcal{G}(1,3) \cup \mathcal{M}(2,3) \rangle \neq \mathcal{G}(1,3) *_{\langle \mathtt{x}_0^{-1} \rangle} \mathcal{M}(2,3)$.

(ii) A positive answer to Question 4.7 implies a positive answer to Question 4.8.

(iii) When $q = 2$ and $n = 2$, there is a mysterious relation between Q_2 and $\mathtt{x}_0 + 1$ found by computer: $((\mathtt{x}_0 + 1) \circ Q_2)^{(3)} = \mathtt{x}_0$. Because of the this relation, we see that for $q = 2$ and $n = 2$, a positive answer to Question 4.7 also implies a negative answer to Question 4.9.

We provide some evidence supporting a possible positive answer to Question 4.8.

Proposition 4.10. *Assume*

$$f = (\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1)^{(e_1)} \circ (\mathtt{x}_0 + 1) \circ (\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1)^{(e_2)} \circ (\mathtt{x}_0 + 1) \circ \cdots \circ (\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1)^{(e_n)} \circ (\mathtt{x}_0 + 1) \in \mathcal{G}(2,2),$$

where $0 \neq e_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ *for all* $1 \leq i \leq n$. *If* $f = \mathtt{x}_0$, *then* $n = 2m \geq 6$ *and* $e_1 + e_3 + \cdots + e_{2m-1} = e_2 + e_4 + \cdots + e_{2m} = 0$.

Proof. Since

$$(\mathtt{x}_0+1)\circ(\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1)\circ(\mathtt{x}_0+1)=(\mathtt{x}_0+1)\circ(\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1+\mathtt{x}_0+\mathtt{x}_1+1)=\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1+\mathtt{x}_0+\mathtt{x}_1,$$

we have

$$\delta\big((\mathtt{x}_0+1)\circ(\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1)\circ(\mathtt{x}_0+1)\big)=(1+\sqrt{2},\,1). \tag{4.3}$$

When $n=2m$, it follows from (4.3) and Lemma 2.5 that

$$d_{\max}(f)=(1+\sqrt{2})^{e_1+\cdots+e_n}, \tag{4.4}$$

$$d_{\min}(f)=(1+\sqrt{2})^{e_1+e_3+\cdots+e_{2m-1}}. \tag{4.5}$$

We have $d_{\max}((\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1)^{(e_1)}\circ(\mathtt{x}_0+1))=(1+\sqrt{2})^{e_1}$, and by Remark 2.6, $d_{\min}((\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1)^{(e_1)}\circ(\mathtt{x}_0+1))=d_{\min}\big(((\mathtt{x}_0+1)(\mathtt{x}_1+1))^{(e_1)}\big)=0$. Hence for $n=2m+1$, we have

$$d_{\max}(f)=(1+\sqrt{2})^{e_1+\cdots+e_n}, \tag{4.6}$$

$$d_{\min}(f)=0. \tag{4.7}$$

Now assume $f=\mathtt{x}_0$. By (4.4), (4.5) and (4.7), we must have $n=2m$ and $e_1+e_3+\cdots+e_{2m-1}=e_2+e_4+\cdots+e_{2m}=0$. It remains to show that $m\geq 3$. Assume to the contrary that $m\leq 2$. We only have to consider the case $m=2$ since $m=1$ is clearly impossible. Then $e_1=-e_3$ and $e_2=-e_4$. Thus

$$(\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1)^{(e_1)}\circ(\mathtt{x}_0+1)\circ(\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1)^{(e_2)}\circ(\mathtt{x}_0+1)=(\mathtt{x}_0+1)\circ(\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1)^{(e_2)}\circ(\mathtt{x}_0+1)\circ(\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1)^{(e_1)},$$

that is, $(\mathtt{x}_0+1)\circ(\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1)^{(e_2)}\circ(\mathtt{x}_0+1)$ commutes with $(\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1)^{(e_1)}$. By Lemma 4.11, $(\mathtt{x}_0+1)\circ(\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1)^{(e_2)}\circ(\mathtt{x}_0+1)\in\mathcal{M}(2,2)$. However, by (4.3),

$$d_{\max}\big((\mathtt{x}_0+1)\circ(\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1)^{(e_2)}\circ(\mathtt{x}_0+1)\big)=(1+\sqrt{2})^{e_2}\neq 1=d_{\min}\big((\mathtt{x}_0+1)\circ(\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1)^{(e_2)}\circ(\mathtt{x}_0+1)\big).$$

Thus we have $(\mathtt{x}_0+1)\circ(\mathtt{x}_0\mathtt{x}_1)^{(e_2)}\circ(\mathtt{x}_0+1)\notin\mathcal{M}(2,2)$, which is a contradiction. □

We introduce some new notation to make the proof of the next result easier. We identity $\mathtt{x}_i$ with $\mathtt{t}^{q^{\frac{i}{n}}}$; hence $\mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0,\ldots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1})=\mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{t},\mathtt{t}^{q^{\frac{1}{n}}},\ldots,\mathtt{t}^{q^{\frac{n-1}{n}}})$. For $g(\mathtt{t})\in\mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{t},\mathtt{t}^{q^{\frac{1}{n}}},\ldots,\mathtt{t}^{q^{\frac{n-1}{n}}})$ and $e=e_0+e_1q^{\frac{1}{n}}+\cdots+e_{n-1}q^{\frac{n-1}{n}}\in\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]$, where $e_i\in\mathbb{Z}$, define

$$g^e=g(\mathtt{t})^{e_0}g(\mathtt{t}^{q^{\frac{1}{n}}})^{e_1}\cdots g(\mathtt{t}^{q^{\frac{n-1}{n}}})^{e_{n-1}}.$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]_+&=\{a\in\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]:a>0\},\\ \mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]_+^{\times}&=\{a\in\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]^{\times}:a>0\},\\ \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]&=\{b_0+b_1q^{\frac{1}{n}}+\cdots+b_{n-1}q^{\frac{n-1}{n}}:b_i\in\mathbb{Z},\ b_i\geq 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

If $g=1+c_1\mathtt{t}^{a_1}+\cdots+\cdot+c_k\mathtt{t}^{a_k}$, where $c_i\in\mathbb{F}_q$, $a_i\in\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]_+$, and $e\in\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]$, we have

$$g^e=\sum_{b\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]}\binom{e}{b}(c_1\mathtt{t}^{a_1}+\cdots+c_k\mathtt{t}^{a_k})^b, \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\binom{e}{b}=\binom{e_0}{b_0}\cdots\binom{e_{n-1}}{b_{n-1}}$$

for $e = e_0+e_1q^{\frac{1}{n}}+\cdots+e_{n-1}q^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$ and $b = b_0+b_1q^{\frac{1}{n}}+\cdots+b_{n-1}q^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$. The right side of (4.8) is a formal power series in $\mathtt{t}$ with exponents in $\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]a_1+\cdots+\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]a_k$.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $e = e_0 + e_1q^{\frac{1}{n}} + \cdots + e_{n-1}q^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]^\times_+ \setminus \{1\}$, where $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that $f \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots \mathtt{x}_{n-1}) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies*

$$f \circ (\mathtt{x}_0^{e_0} \cdots \mathtt{x}_{n-1}^{e_{n-1}}) = (\mathtt{x}_0^{e_0} \cdots \mathtt{x}_{n-1}^{e_{n-1}}) \circ f. \tag{4.9}$$

Then $f = c\,\alpha$, where $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$, $c \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ and $c^{e_0+\cdots+e_{n-1}-1} = 1$.

Proof. If $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q(\mathtt{x}_0, \dots \mathtt{x}_{n-1})$ is a rational monomial, βf also commutes with $\mathtt{x}_0^{e_0} \cdots \mathtt{x}_{n-1}^{e_{n-1}}$. Therefore we may assume $d_{\min}(f) = 0$. Using the above notation, we can write

$$f = c\Big(1 + \sum_{a\in\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]_+} c_a\mathtt{t}^a\Big),$$

where $c \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, $c_a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\{a : c_a \ne 0\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]a_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]a_k$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]_+$. Equation (4.9) clearly implies $c^{e_0+\cdots+e_{n-1}-1} = 1$. Thus we may assume $c = 1$. If $f = 1$, we are done. So assume to the contrary that $f \ne 1$. Equation (4.9) becomes $f(\mathtt{t}^e) = f(\mathtt{t})^e$, i.e.,

$$1 + \sum_{a\in\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]_+} c_a\mathtt{t}^{ae} = \Big(1 + \sum_{a\in\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]_+} c_a\mathtt{t}^a\Big)^e. \tag{4.10}$$

Let $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]_+$ be minimum such that $c_{a_0} \ne 0$. Then the second lowest term in the left side of (4.10) is $c_{a_0}\mathtt{t}^{a_0e}$. Since $e \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]^\times$, we have $e_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$. (For this fact, confer the proof of Proposition 4.14.) Thus the second lowest term in the right side of (4.10) is

$$\binom{e}{1} c_{a_0}\mathtt{t}^{a_0} = e_0c_{a_0}\mathtt{t}^{a_0}.$$

Since $e \ne 1$, we have a contradiction. □

Corollary 4.12. *Let $\epsilon_i = e_{i,0} + e_{i,1}q^{\frac{1}{n}} + \cdots + e_{i,n-1}q^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$, $1 \le i \le \lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor$, be a system of fundamental units of $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]$. Let $d = \gcd\big(\{e_{i,0}+\cdots+e_{i,n-1}-1 : 1 \le i \le \lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor\}\cup\{q-1\}\big)$ and put $\mu_d = \{c \in \mathbb{F}_q\setminus\{0\} : c^d = 1\}$. Then $\{c\,\mathtt{x}_0 : c \in \mu_d\}\times\mathcal{M}(n,q)$ is a maximal abelian subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(n,q)$.*

Let

$$\mathcal{H}(n,q) = \{f \in \mathcal{G}(n,q) : d_{\max}(f)d_{\min}(f) \ne 0\}.$$

By Lemma 2.5, $\mathcal{H}(n,q)$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(n,q)$ and $\mathcal{H}(n,q)$ has a normal subgroup $\mathcal{H}_0(n,q) = \{f \in \mathcal{G}(n,q) : \delta(f) = (1,1)\}$. We will see that $[\mathcal{G}(n,q) : \mathcal{H}(n,q)] < \infty$ and we will determine a system of representatives of the left cosets of $\mathcal{H}(n,q)$ in $\mathcal{G}(n,q)$. We will also determine the structure of $\mathcal{H}(n,q)/\mathcal{H}_0(n,q)$.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a set of ordered pairs $(a,b) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$, $ab \ne 0$, $a \ne b$, that represent each two element subset of $\mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ precisely once.

Proposition 4.13. *A system of representatives of the left cosets of $\mathcal{H}(n,q)$ in $\mathcal{G}(n,q)$ is given by*

$$\mathcal{L} = \{\mathtt{x}_0 + a : a \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \Big\{\frac{1}{\mathtt{x}_0+b} : b \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}\Big\} \cup \Big\{\frac{a\mathtt{x}_0+b}{\mathtt{x}_0+1} : (a,b) \in \mathfrak{A}\Big\}.$$

In particular, $[\mathcal{G}(n,q) : \mathcal{H}(n,q)] = \frac{1}{2}q(q+1)$.

Proof. 1° We first show that $\mathcal{G}(n,q) = \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathcal{L}} \alpha \circ \mathcal{H}(n,q)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}(n,q)$. If $f \in \mathcal{H}(n,q)$, then $f \in \mathtt{x}_0 \circ \mathcal{H}(n,q)$. So we assume $f \notin \mathcal{H}(n,q)$.

Case 1. Assume that $d_{\max}(f) = 0$ and $d_{\min}(f) < 0$. The following table illustrates the coefficients of the numerator and the denominator of f in the decreasing order of the term degree, where $abc \neq 0$.

coef's of the numerator of f	$a \cdots\cdots b$
coef's of the denominator of f	$1 \cdots c$

In this case we have $(\mathtt{x}_0 - a) \circ f \in \mathcal{H}(n,q)$ and hence $f \in (\mathtt{x}_0 + a) \circ \mathcal{H}(n,q)$.

Case 2. Assume that $d_{\max}(f) = 0$ and $d_{\min}(f) > 0$; see the following table, where $abc \neq 0$.

coef's of the numerator of f	$1 \cdots c$
coef's of the denominator of f	$a \cdots\cdots b$

We have $(\mathtt{x}_0 - a) \circ \frac{1}{\mathtt{x}_0} \circ f \in \mathcal{H}(n,q)$. Hence $f \in (\frac{1}{\mathtt{x}_0})^{(-1)} \circ (\mathtt{x}_0 - a)^{(-1)} \circ \mathcal{H}(n,q) = \frac{1}{\mathtt{x}_0+a} \circ \mathcal{H}(n,q)$.

Case 3. Assume that $d_{\min}(f) = 0$ and $d_{\max}(f) \neq 0$. The proof is similar to Cases 1 and 2.

Case 4. Assume that $d_{\max}(f) = d_{\min}(f) = 0$; see the following table, where $abc \neq 0$ and $ac - b \neq 0$. (Note. If $ac - b = 0$, then $d_{\max}(f-a)d_{\min}(f-a) < 0$, which is impossible by Lemma 4.4 (ii).)

coef's of the numerator of f	$a \cdots\cdots b$
coef's of the denominator of f	$1 \cdots\cdots c$

Then we have

$$\Big(\mathtt{x}_0 - \frac{c}{b-ac}\Big) \circ \frac{1}{\mathtt{x}_0} \circ (x_0 - a) \circ f \in \mathcal{H}(n,q).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
f \in & \Big[\Big(\mathtt{x}_0 - \frac{c}{b-ac}\Big) \circ \frac{1}{\mathtt{x}_0} \circ (x_0 - a)\Big]^{(-1)} \circ \mathcal{H}(n,q) \\
&= (\mathtt{x}_0 + a) \circ \frac{1}{\mathtt{x}_0} \circ \Big(\mathtt{x}_0 + \frac{c}{b-ac}\Big) \circ \mathcal{H}(n,q) \\
&= \frac{a\mathtt{x}_0 + \frac{b}{b-ac}}{\mathtt{x}_0 + \frac{c}{b-ac}} \circ \mathcal{H}(n,q) \\
&= \frac{a\mathtt{x}_0 + b'}{\mathtt{x}_0 + c'} \circ \mathcal{H}(n,q) \qquad\qquad (b'c' \neq 0) \\
&= \frac{a\mathtt{x}_0 + b'}{\mathtt{x}_0 + c'} \circ (c'\mathtt{x}_0) \circ \frac{\mathtt{x}_0}{c'} \circ \mathcal{H}(n,q) \\
&= \frac{a\mathtt{x}_0 + b''}{\mathtt{x}_0 + 1} \circ \mathcal{H}(n,q) \qquad\qquad (b'' \neq 0) \\
&= \frac{b''\mathtt{x}_0 + a}{\mathtt{x}_0 + 1} \circ \mathcal{H}(n,q) \qquad\qquad \Big(\frac{b''\mathtt{x}_0 + a}{\mathtt{x}_0 + 1} = \frac{a\mathtt{x}_0 + b''}{\mathtt{x}_0 + 1} \circ \frac{1}{\mathtt{x}_0}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

where either (a, b'') or (b'', a) belongs $\mathfrak{A}$.

2° For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$, we show that $\alpha^{(-1)} \circ \beta \notin \mathcal{H}(n,q)$. Note that $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{G}(1,q)$ and under the isomorphism $\mathcal{G}(1,q) \cong \mathrm{PGL}(2,q)$, $\mathcal{L}$ corresponds to

$$\mathfrak{L} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & b \end{bmatrix} : 0 \neq b \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : (a,b) \in \mathfrak{A} \right\}.$$

It suffices to show that for all $A, B \in \mathfrak{L}$ with $A \neq B$, $A^{-1}B$ is not of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Case 1. Assume $A = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & a_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $B = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & a_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $a_1 \neq a_2$. Then $A^{-1}B = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -a_1+a_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$.

Case 2. Assume $A = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $B = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & b \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $b \neq 0$. Then $A^{-1}B = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ 1 & b \end{smallmatrix}\right]$.

Case 3. Assume $A = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & a_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $B = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $(a,b) \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then $A^{-1}B = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$.

Case 4. Assume $A = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & b_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $B = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & b_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $b_1 b_2 \neq 0$, $b_1 \neq b_2$. Then $A^{-1}B = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -b_1+b_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$.

Case 5. Assume $A = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & b_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $B = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $(a_2, b_2) \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then $A^{-1}B = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ a_2 & b_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$.

Case 6. Assume $A = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $B = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $(a_1,b_1), (a_2,b_2) \in \mathfrak{A}$, $(a_1,b_1) \neq (a_2,b_2)$. Then

$$A^{-1}B = \frac{1}{a_1 - b_1} \begin{bmatrix} a_2 - b_1 & b_2 - b_1 \\ -a_2 + a_1 & -b_2 + a_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is not of the form $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ or $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ since $(a_1,b_1) \neq (a_2,b_2), (b_2,a_2)$. □

Let $\Delta = \{(a,b) : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]^\times,\ ab > 0\}$. For $(a_1,b_1), (a_2,b_2) \in \Delta$, define

$$(a_1,b_1)(a_2,b_2) = \begin{cases} (a_1a_2, b_1b_2) & \text{if } a_2 > 0,\ b_2 > 0, \\ (b_1a_2, a_1b_2) & \text{if } a_2 < 0,\ b_2 < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then Δ is a group. In fact, let τ be the order 2 automorphism of $(\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]_+^\times)^2$ defined by $\tau(a,b) = (b,a)$. Then $\Delta \cong \langle \tau \rangle \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]_+^\times)^2$. (Note. By Dirichlet's unit theorem, $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]_+^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$.)

Proposition 4.14. *The mapping $\delta : \mathcal{H}(n,q) \to \Delta$ defined in* (2.6) *is an onto group homomorphism with* $\ker \delta = \mathcal{H}_0(n,q)$.

Proof. That δ is a group homomorphism follows from Lemma 2.5. To prove that δ is onto, it suffices to show that fro each $e \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]_+^\times$, there exists $f \in \mathcal{H}(n,q)$ such that $\delta(f) = (e,1)$. (Note that Δ is generated by $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{n}}]_+^\times \times \{1\}$ and $(-1,-1)$, where $(-1,-1) = \delta(\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}_0})$.) Write

$$e = e_0 + e_1 q^{\frac{1}{n}} + \cdots + e_{n-1} q^{\frac{n-1}{n}}, \quad e_i \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.11}$$

Let $p = \mathrm{char}\, \mathbb{F}_q$ and ν_p the p-adic valuation of $\mathbb{Q}(q^{\frac{1}{n}})$. Then $\nu_p(e) = 0$ since e is a unit. Thus (4.11) gives $\nu_p(e_0) = 0$, i.e., $p \nmid e_0$. Let $I = \{0 \le i \le n-1 : e_i > 0\}$ and

$J = \{0 \le j \le n-1 : e_j < 0\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}(n,q) &\ni (\mathtt{x}_0 - 1) \circ (\mathtt{x}_0^{e_0} \cdots \mathtt{x}_{n-1}^{e_{n-1}}) \circ (\mathtt{x}_0 + 1) \\ &= (\mathtt{x}_0 - 1) \circ \frac{\prod_{i\in I} \mathtt{x}_i^{e_i}}{\prod_{j\in J} \mathtt{x}_j^{-e_j}} \circ (\mathtt{x}_0 + 1) \\ &= \frac{\prod_{i\in I} \mathtt{x}_i^{e_i} - \prod_{j\in J} \mathtt{x}_j^{-e_j}}{\prod_{j\in J} \mathtt{x}_j^{-e_j}} \circ (\mathtt{x}_0 + 1) \\ &= \frac{\prod_{i\in I} (\mathtt{x}_i+1)^{e_i} - \prod_{j\in J} (\mathtt{x}_j+1)^{-e_j}}{\prod_{j\in J} (\mathtt{x}_j+1)^{-e_j}} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{i\in I} \mathtt{x}_i^{e_i} + \cdots + e_0\mathtt{x}_0}{\prod_{j\in J} \mathtt{x}_j^{-e_j} + \cdots + 1}.\end{aligned}$$

The above element has $d_{\max} = e$ and $d_{\min} = 1$. □

References

[1] J. Blanc, *Simple relations in the Cremona group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140** (2012), 1495 – 1500.

[2] J. Buchmann, and A. Pethö, *Computation of independent units in number fields by Dirichlet's method*, Math. Comp. **52** (1989), 149 – 159, S1 – S14.

[3] H. Cohen, *A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.

[4] H. Dobbertin, *Kasami power functions, permutation polynomials and cyclic difference sets*, In: *Difference Sets, Sequences and Their Correlation Properties* (Bad Windsheim, 1998), 133 – 158, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., 542, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999.

[5] H. Dobbertin, *Uniformly representable permutation polynomials*, Sequences and Their Applications (Bergen, 2001), 1 – 22, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. (Lond.), Springer, London, 2002.

[6] M. K. Gizatullin, *Defining relations for the Cremona group of the plane*, (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **46** (1982), 909 – 970, 1134.

[7] R. Godement, *Introduction à la Théorie des Groupes de Lie*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[8] G. Karpilovsky, *Topics in Field Theory*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1989.

[9] E. Kleinert, *Units of classical orders: a survey*, Enseign. Math. (2) **40** (1994), 205 – 248.

[10] J. Kollár, K. E. Smith, A. Corti, *Rational and Nearly Rational Varieties*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 92. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[11] W. More, *Permutation polynomials based on multivariate rational functions*, Contributions to General Algebra. 17, 149 – 160, Heyn, Klagenfurt, 2006.

[12] I. Pan, *Une remarque sur la génération du groupe de Cremona*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) **30** (1999), 95 – 98.

[13] J-P. Serre, *A Minkowski-style bound for the orders of the finite subgroups of the Cremona group of rank 2 over an arbitrary field*, Mosc. Math. J. **9** (2009), 183 – 198.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620

E-mail address: xhou@usf.edu